

FITCH RATES \$500MM CONNECTICUT GO BONDS 'AA-'; OUTLOOK STABLE

Fitch Ratings-New York-15 July 2016: Fitch Ratings has assigned 'AA-' ratings to \$500,000,000 in State of Connecticut general obligation (GO) bonds, as follows:

- \$250,000,000 GO bonds (2016 series D);
- \$250,000,000 taxable GO bonds (2016 series A).

The bonds are being offered via competitive sale during the week of Aug. 1, 2016.

The Rating Outlook is Stable.

SECURITY

The bonds are general obligations to which the full faith and credit of the state will be pledged for payment of principal and interest.

KEY RATING DRIVERS

Fitch rates the State of Connecticut 'AA-' with a Stable Outlook; the rating was downgraded on May 19, 2016 from 'AA', based on underlying credit trends and the application of the of Fitch's revised U.S. Tax-Supported Rating Criteria, released April 18, 2016. The state has experienced chronic economic and fiscal challenges during the current expansion and consequently its scope of flexibility to address future cyclicity, in Fitch's view, has been reduced. Despite repeated, and generally structural, responses to bring the current biennial budget into balance, it remains unclear whether the state has succeeded in fully aligning its budget to potential future economic and revenue performance. The Stable Outlook at the 'AA-' rating level reflects Fitch's view that, despite its high fixed cost burden and ongoing economic uncertainty, recent state corrective actions have primarily been structural in nature, and state managers continue to pursue fiscal management changes to improve the state's longer term prospects.

Economic Resource Base:

Connecticut has a mature and diverse economy anchored by a large finance sector and important manufacturing and education and health sectors. The last downturn in the state was severe, and the recovery has been very slow compared to previous economic cycles. Over the 2012 - 2015 period, employment in the state rose at roughly half of the pace enjoyed by the nation, and current employment remains below the pre-recession peak. The state is the wealthiest in the U.S. as measured by per capita personal income, although aggregate personal income gains have trailed the nation's and key finance and manufacturing sectors are experiencing only modest growth after the retrenchment of recent years.

Revenue Framework: 'aa' factor assessment

Tax revenues are diverse, with the largest tax source, personal income tax (PIT), subject to considerable cyclicity, particularly the component derived from capital gains. Sales, corporate income, transportation and gaming taxes serve to further diversify the tax base. Baseline growth prospects for taxes are limited given the state's mature, slowly growing economy. The state has unlimited legal ability to levy taxes.

Expenditure Framework: 'aa' factor assessment

As with most states, Connecticut's pace of spending growth is expected to be higher than that of revenues in the absence of policy action given the prominence of Medicaid; other social services, education, municipal aid, debt service and pension contributions add further to spending pressure. The state has consistently demonstrated the ability to manage its high fixed cost burden, including making full actuarial contributions.

Long-Term Liability Burden: 'a' factor assessment

The burden of debt and unfunded pension liabilities in relation to resources is elevated and among the highest for a U.S. state. Net tax-supported debt consists primarily of GO and transportation borrowings, with much of GO borrowing undertaken on behalf of local schools. Unfunded pensions, including for local teachers, are more significant, with high discount rates suggesting that future funded ratio erosion and higher contribution needs are a risk, despite an otherwise very conservative amortization policy.

Operating Performance: 'aa' factor assessment

Frequent revenue reforecasting allows the state to identify revenue underperformance and quickly implement corrective actions. Gap-closing capacity remains strong but is less robust than during past expansions given that the state has been unable to quickly rebuild reserve balances and it already has implemented tax increases and spending cuts in the course of the current expansion. Further expenditure adjustments remain a source of additional flexibility, although high fixed costs limit the state's scope of action.

RATING SENSITIVITIES

MAINTAINING FISCAL RESILIENCE: The rating is sensitive to the state's continued ability to manage comparatively weak economic recovery conditions and future economic and revenue downturns while maintaining an operating profile consistent with the current rating level. This is particularly important given that reserve balances are likely to remain low relative to history and potential budgetary needs. Evidence that through-the-cycle fiscal flexibility has deteriorated further could lead to a downgrade.

CREDIT PROFILE

Connecticut has a diverse, mature and wealthy economic base, with a slowly growing population and an aging demographic profile. In contrast to past economic recoveries, growth in the current expansion has been unusually slow and uncertain. Employment gains have been well below national averages and slower than past recoveries. The finance sector, with important banking and investment activity in Fairfield County and insurance activity in Hartford, saw sizable employment losses through the recession and well into the recovery. The state's large and sophisticated manufacturing sector has seen relatively flat employment since steep recessionary losses ended, although important defense-related manufacturing helps to anchor the sector. Tourism has grown in importance, but prospects for the state's gaming resorts are more uncertain amid rising competitive pressures. The state's unemployment rate has historically run below the nation's, but this trend has reversed in more recent years. Personal income per capita ranks highest among the states, at 141% of the national level, and aggregate personal income growth continues, albeit below national rates of growth.

Revenue Framework

Tax revenues for general fund needs are diverse, with PIT, corporate income and sales taxes serving as the primary tax sources. PIT receipts, particularly those derived from non-withholding, are particularly important but subject to volatility. The separate transportation fund receives a range of transportation-related receipts as well as resources from the general fund.

A mature economy and an older, more slowly-growing demographic profile result in a revenue profile that Fitch believes is likely to grow more slowly than national GDP over time.

The state has unlimited legal ability to raise tax revenues. Tax rate competitiveness is more of a factor in Connecticut than in some other states due to its relatively small size for a state and its proximity to neighboring states' urban employment centers. Transportation revenues, while dedicated for transportation needs, are statutorily, although not constitutionally restricted to transportation and have been subject in the past to frequent diversion for general needs.

Expenditure Framework

As with many smaller states by land area, Connecticut's scope of spending is very broad, with the state responsible for delivering or funding numerous services routinely funded at the local level in other states. Formula funding for local schools and subsidies for higher education highlight the state's sizable role in education, which also extends to funding teacher pension contributions and school capital. Municipal aid is also significant, although a recent sales tax-funded expansion included in the adopted fiscal 2015 budget was partly reversed given subsequent budgetary weakness. Common to states, Medicaid and other social services are the largest spending commitment, with rising needs pressuring total spending.

As with most states, spending is expected to be in line with to marginally above expected revenue growth without ongoing state action to control costs. This is largely driven by social service demands.

The state retains solid ability to cut spending despite several rounds of budgetary adjustment during the current and last biennia. Statute requires swift response in the event of forecast underperformance, either through rescissions, allotment cuts, or with legislative concurrence, depending on the size of the projected deficit.

Fitch views Connecticut's fixed costs as being relatively high, well above the U.S. state median, driven by an above average burden of debt and unfunded pensions. Debt service includes support for GO bonds issued for school construction, as well as past deficit borrowing and conversion to GAAP budgeting. The state consistently makes full actuarial contributions toward paying down its unfunded pensions.

Long-Term Liability Burden

Connecticut's long-term liability burden for debt and pensions is elevated and amongst the highest for a U.S. state, although it remains a moderate burden on resources and the state continues to contribute full actuarial contributions to its pensions.

Net tax-supported debt totaled \$22 billion as of July 2016, or 9.2% of 2015 personal income. Three-quarters of net tax-supported debt is GO, a large share of which has been issued for local school capital needs. GO borrowing includes \$2.3 billion in pension bonds issued to improve the funded ratio of the teachers retirement fund (TRF).

Both of the state's two major pension systems, covering state employees and teachers, have relatively low funded ratios driven by weak contribution practices in the past; both plans have now received full annual actuarial contributions for years, the TRF under a covenant linked to GO pension bonds. Connecticut has pursued reforms for both plans, and both maintain conservative closed amortization schedules. The state is considering additional reforms to lower the burden of pensions over time.

Operating Performance

Fitch views Connecticut as having strong gap-closing capacity, although somewhat diminished given its economic and revenue performance in recent biennia. Expenditure and revenue actions, particularly expenditure cuts, remain the state's primary sources of financial resilience given the relatively low balance of the budget reserve fund (BRF) and tax rate increases adopted in recent

biennial budgets that make further increases more challenging. A partial draw on the BRF to close a fiscal 2015 ending deficit left its balance at \$406 million, or 2.2% of fiscal 2015 net revenues, well below the nearly \$1.4 billion peak in fiscal 2009. The BRF level is likely to be tapped again to close a remaining \$316 million gap estimated in fiscal 2016, even after the extensive administrative and legislative actions taken in the course of the fiscal year; the final fiscal 2016 gap will be certified by the state's Comptroller in October. Financial resilience is further supported by multiple revenue monitoring mechanisms, including consensus forecasting, and disciplined mechanisms to respond to identified budgetary weakness.

Along with relatively high fixed costs, the state continues to carry the burden of deficit notes issued during the last downturn, in contrast to past recoveries when surging tax receipts allowed past deficit notes to be repaid early and the BRF balance to be rebuilt. Despite this limitation and the challenges posed by its current slow recovery, the state's fiscal management has generally improved in recent biennia, with a greater reliance on structural solutions, continued full actuarial pension contributions and actions taken to correct a longstanding GAAP deficit.

Contact:

Primary Analyst
Douglas Offerman
Senior Director
+1-212-908-0889
Fitch Ratings, Inc.
33 Whitehall Street
New York, NY 10004

Secondary Analyst
Marcy Block
Senior Director
+1-212-908-0239

Committee Chairperson
Laura Porter
Managing Director
+1-212-908-0575

Media Relations: Elizabeth Fogerty, New York, Tel: +1 (212) 908 0526, Email: elizabeth.fogerty@fitchratings.com.

Additional information is available at 'www.fitchratings.com'.

In addition to the sources of information identified in Fitch's applicable criteria specified below, this action was informed by information from Lumesis and InvestorTools.

Applicable Criteria

U.S. Tax-Supported Rating Criteria (pub. 18 Apr 2016)

https://www.fitchratings.com/creditdesk/reports/report_frame.cfm?rpt_id=879478

ALL FITCH CREDIT RATINGS ARE SUBJECT TO CERTAIN LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS. PLEASE READ THESE LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS BY FOLLOWING THIS LINK: [HTTP://FITCHRATINGS.COM/UNDERSTANDINGCREDITRATINGS](http://FITCHRATINGS.COM/UNDERSTANDINGCREDITRATINGS). IN ADDITION, RATING DEFINITIONS AND THE TERMS OF USE OF SUCH RATINGS ARE AVAILABLE ON THE AGENCY'S PUBLIC WEBSITE 'WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM'. PUBLISHED RATINGS, CRITERIA AND

METHODOLOGIES ARE AVAILABLE FROM THIS SITE AT ALL TIMES. FITCH'S CODE OF CONDUCT, CONFIDENTIALITY, CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, AFFILIATE FIREWALL, COMPLIANCE AND OTHER RELEVANT POLICIES AND PROCEDURES ARE ALSO AVAILABLE FROM THE 'CODE OF CONDUCT' SECTION OF THIS SITE. FITCH MAY HAVE PROVIDED ANOTHER PERMISSIBLE SERVICE TO THE RATED ENTITY OR ITS RELATED THIRD PARTIES. DETAILS OF THIS SERVICE FOR RATINGS FOR WHICH THE LEAD ANALYST IS BASED IN AN EU-REGISTERED ENTITY CAN BE FOUND ON THE ENTITY SUMMARY PAGE FOR THIS ISSUER ON THE FITCH WEBSITE.

Copyright © 2016 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved. In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001