

MOODY'S

INVESTORS SERVICE

New Issue: Moody's assigns Aa3 to \$500M State of Connecticut GO bonds; outlook stable

Global Credit Research - 22 Jul 2015

State has about \$19.7B in net tax-supported debt

CONNECTICUT (STATE OF)
State Governments (including Puerto Rico and US Territories)
CT

Moody's Rating

ISSUE	RATING
General Obligation Bonds (2015 Series E)	Aa3
Sale Amount	\$250,000,000
Expected Sale Date	08/04/15
Rating Description	General Obligation
Taxable General Obligation Bonds (2015 Series B)	Aa3
Sale Amount	\$250,000,000
Expected Sale Date	08/04/15
Rating Description	General Obligation

Moody's Outlook STA

NEW YORK, July 22, 2015 --Moody's Investors Service has assigned Aa3 ratings to the State of Connecticut \$250 million general obligation bonds 2015 Series E and \$250 million taxable general obligation bonds 2015 series B. The bonds are expected to price August 4, 2015.

SUMMARY RATING RATIONALE

The Aa3 state rating incorporates Connecticut's high combined fixed costs for debt service, pension, and post employment benefits relative to the state's budget; pension funded ratios that are among the lowest in the country and likely to remain well below average; and minimal reserve levels. The slow pace of the state's economic recovery led to the revenue underperformance and persistent budget gaps though the state has recently worked to increase reserves and bring the state budget into fiscal balance.

OUTLOOK

The outlook for Connecticut is stable reflecting the positive steps the state has taken to address its long-standing balance sheet weakness and reduce its fixed post employment benefit costs through pension reforms, as well as the adoption of a biennial budget that largely relies on recurring solutions. We expect that Connecticut's revenue trends should improve as its recovery picks up steam. We also expect that the state will maintain its new commitment to replenishing its rainy day fund over time and addressing its remaining negative GAAP basis unassigned General Fund balance. The elevated debt service costs will continue to challenge the state's financial position over the near term.

WHAT COULD MAKE THE RATING GO UP

- Achievement and maintenance of higher GAAP-basis combined available reserve levels
- Established trend of structural budget balance

- Evidence of sustained stronger economic performance
- Reduced debt ratios relative to Moody's 50-state median and lower fixed annual costs
- Significantly improved funding of pension and post-retirement liabilities

WHAT COULD MAKE THE RATING GO DOWN

- Lack of improvement in available reserve levels
- Reversion to significant one-time budget solutions, including deficit financings
- Revenue weakness driven by delayed economic recovery
- Cash flow strain stemming from reduced liquidity
- Significant increase in fixed costs as percent of budget

STRENGTHS

- Legislated support for application of operating surpluses to the Budget Reserve Fund (BRF)
- Commitment to eliminate GAAP negative fund balance
- Wealthiest state in the nation with per capita personal income levels well above national levels

CHALLENGES

- Fixed costs for debt, pension and other post-employment benefits (OPEB) relative to budget are among the highest in the nation
- Very low funding ratios for pension systems
- Vulnerability to financial market fluctuations due to effect on capital gains for very high wealth residents and employment in the financial services sector
- General fund balance sheet will remain negative over near term and rainy day fund modest due to state's slower recovery from the recession

RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

As of July 20, 2015, the state is projecting a small budget deficit for fiscal year 2015 of about \$70.9 million, or 0.4% of the fiscal 2015 budget. General fund revenues are projected to be \$17.3 billion for fiscal 2015, and are coming in slightly under budget (less than 1%) owing to lower-than-projected personal income tax growth and lower health provider taxes.

DETAILED RATING RATIONALE

ECONOMY: ECONOMIC RECOVERY CONTINUES BUT STILL LAGS THE NATION

Connecticut's economic recovery continues to lag that of the nation, however, unemployment continues to trend down. Connecticut's preliminary unemployment rate stood at 5.7% for June 2015, slightly above the national rate of 5.3% for the same period. Real average earnings continue to improve as real median family income growth in 2014 surpassed the U.S. and Northeast averages for the first time in four years. Moody's Analytics projects that the state will regain its recessionary job losses by mid-2016. However, the state will continue to contend with high energy costs and high tax burdens.

FINANCES AND LIQUIDITY

ENACTED 2016-2017 BUDGET REDUCES ONE TIME SOLUTIONS AND FOCUSES ON REVENUE ENHANCEMENTS AND EXPENDITURE REDUCTIONS

Governor Malloy signed a biennial budget bill that includes \$802.1 million in revenue enhancements in fiscal 2016 and \$664.4 million in fiscal 2017. The significant revenue enhancements include an increase to the top personal income tax marginal rate to 6.99% from 6.7% (\$151.5 million in fiscal 2016), sales and use tax changes including

the elimination of the exemption for clothing and footwear less than \$50 (\$136.8 million in fiscal 2016), limits on the use of net operating losses against the corporate tax (\$156.3 million in fiscal 2016), and rebasing the hospital net revenue tax (\$160.5 million in fiscal 2016). The significant expenditure changes include capping various statutory formula grants and programmatic and rate changes to Medicaid. We estimate that the use of non-recurring revenues comprises about 0.8% of fiscal 2016 general fund revenues.

The budget also included an intercept of sales and use tax revenue for the "Let's Go CT!" transportation initiative and beginning in fiscal 2016, all revenue from the oil companies tax will be deposited to the Special Transportation Fund. We expect a substantial increase in transportation capital spending and note that fiscal 2016 and 2017 include \$2,169.8 million in total transportation related bond authorizations.

The budget bill made several changes to the state's budget reserve fund effective July 1, 2019; raising the maximum cap on the total allowable deposits to the budget reserve fund from 10% to 15% of the net general fund appropriations for any fiscal year. It also incorporates an automatic funding mechanism that is triggered when certain revenue sources exceed an average historical growth rate.

BOND PROCEEDS USED TO REDUCE NEGATIVE GAAP BALANCE

In fiscal 2013, the state implemented a plan to begin addressing Connecticut's sizeable \$1.2 billion cumulative GAAP deficit. The state issued \$560 million of general obligation bonds, which generated \$598.5 million in proceeds (of the \$750 million total authorized by legislature) amortizing the bonds over 15 years and reducing the accumulated GAAP deficit to \$618.5 million. The remaining portion of the deficit will be amortized over 13 years starting in fiscal 2016, resulting in an annual payment of about \$47.5 million. These amounts will be "deemed appropriated", meaning no further legislative action is needed to make the payments.

The GAAP bond proceeds are not counted as General Fund revenues, and the proceeds cannot be used for any current or future budget appropriations. While the funding strategy enabled the state to begin addressing its longstanding sizeable GAAP deficit and injects discipline into the plan, the additional debt adds to the state's already high debt levels and fixed costs. Even with the plan, the unassigned General Fund balance will remain negative over the near term.

Liquidity

Connecticut's liquidity remains satisfactory. The state did not have to borrow for cash flow purposes in fiscal 2015 and does not anticipate cash flow borrowing in fiscal 2016. Cash balances averaged \$1.5 billion in fiscal 2015 and stood at \$2.2 billion, including bond proceeds, as of June 30, 2015.

DEBT AND PENSIONS

Debt Structure

DEBT RATIOS REMAIN HIGH AND REMAIN AMONG HIGHEST IN COUNTRY

Connecticut is a frequent borrower and the state's debt per capita and debt-to-personal income ranked first and second, respectively, among the 50 states for Moody's 2015 debt medians. Net tax-supported debt equaled \$5,491 per capita and 9.0% of total state personal income, well above the 50-state median of \$1,054 in debt per capita and 2.6% for debt-to-personal income. These high debt ratios are partly due to substantial capital financing for K-12 school building construction that is carried out at the local level in many other states. However, with the \$2 billion POBs and the sale of \$560 million in GAAP Conversion bonds to address a portion of the state's sizeable cumulative GAAP deficit, on top of the state's normal sizeable annual debt issuances, Connecticut's debt ratios will likely remain among the highest in the country. Connecticut adheres to a 20-year level principal repayment schedule for its general obligation debt, with a declining debt service schedule that provides some flexibility.

State debt includes financing for certain municipal projects (mostly schools) that are funded at the local level in most states. As a result, the state's resources are more leveraged than other states. This results in higher state fixed costs for debt service, even though Connecticut's combined debt loads (state plus local obligations) are more moderate relative to its significant taxable base.

Debt-Related Derivatives

MODEST AMOUNT OF VARIABLE RATE DEBT AND MINIMAL SWAP EXPOSURE

The state has \$1.6 billion in variable rate debt, most of which is indexed to either SIFMA or CPI, or approximately 11% of the state's total G.O. debt. Only \$56.6 million of the state's variable rate debt is swapped to fixed, based on 60% of LIBOR or a percentage point above CPI, after a \$280 million swap was terminated at par on March 1. As of June 30, 2015, the mark-to-market was minimal, at negative \$2.5 million against the state. In accordance with its swap guidelines, the state generally negotiates provisions that permit funding a required termination payment over a period of time to allow time for a refunding. Accordingly, the state would have 270 days to fund a termination payment for its general obligation swaps. The state has no plan to incorporate swaps into future GO bonds.

Pensions and OPEB

Based on Connecticut's 2013 pension data, we have calculated that the SERS adjusted net pension liability (ANPL) was 121% of revenues. Moody's adjusted net pension liability applies a bond index rate to determine the present value of plan liabilities, incorporates the market (rather than actuarial) value of plan assets and makes certain other changes to improve comparability of reported pension liabilities. The state reported a funded ratio for SERS of 41.5% as of June 30, 2014. The actuarial valuation for TRS showed a funded ratio of 59% as of June 30, 2014, up from 55% as of June 30, 2012. SERS revised downward the assumed rate of return, to 8% from 8.25% beginning in fiscal 2014, along with other adjustments to price and wage inflation rates. The assumed rate of return for TRS is 8.5%. For these two plans, the state's combined ANPL for fiscal 2013 was 236% of revenues. This amount is notably higher than that of Kentucky, the state with the third largest pension burden at 193.2% of revenues, but is well below Illinois at 268.3%. The 50-state median ANPL to revenues is 60.3%, based on 2013 data. Other pension ratios such as ANPL to personal income, GDP, and population are similarly very high for Connecticut.

GOVERNANCE

The state has a constitutional balanced budget requirement, strong, binding consensus revenue process supported by nonpartisan and objective economic analysis that is conducted three times a year (January, April and November) or can be scheduled more frequently during times of revenue shortfalls or economic distress. There is a constitutional cap on spending and a statutory limit on debt payable from the general fund. The state is not subject to mandated initiatives or voter referenda. The Governor has executive authority-though limited- to cut expenses by up to 5% of an individual appropriation, not to exceed 3% of any fund, without legislative approval, and if a deficit exceeds 1% of the general fund, there are requirements for a timely deficit mitigation plan to be developed. A super-majority is not required for tax increases or legislatively enacted budget adjustments. There is monthly budget reporting by both the Governor's budget office and the State Comptroller and budget adoption has been timely. The state does not conduct a debt affordability analysis, however, both the Governor's budget office and the Office of Fiscal Analysis prepare Fiscal Accountability reports that include multiple-year financial planning including projections of debt issuance, debt levels and debt cost. The state has frequently maintained a rainy day fund over the years, and there are statutory requirements that all budget surplus funds be deposited to the rainy day fund at year-end.

KEY STATISTICS

- Per capita income relative to U.S. average: 135.4%
- Industrial diversity (1=most diverse): 0.76
- Employment volatility (U.S.=100): 92
- Available balances as % of operating revenue (5-yr. avg.): -8.8%
- NTSD/total governmental revenue: 80.1%
- 3-year avg. adjusted net pension liability/total governmental revenue: 213.5%

OBLIGOR PROFILE

The State of Connecticut has a population of almost 3.6 million people. The state, located in the northeastern US, has a large and diverse economy with a gross state product of \$249.2 billion. It is the wealthiest state in the country with per capita income of 135.4% of the US average.

LEGAL SECURITY

The bonds will be secured by the full faith and credit of the State of Connecticut.

USE OF PROCEEDS

Proceeds of the bonds will be used for various capital projects and for other state purposes.

PRINCIPAL METHODOLOGY

The principal methodology used in this rating was US States Rating Methodology published in April 2013. Please see the Credit Policy page on www.moodys.com for a copy of this methodology.

REGULATORY DISCLOSURES

For ratings issued on a program, series or category/class of debt, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to each rating of a subsequently issued bond or note of the same series or category/class of debt or pursuant to a program for which the ratings are derived exclusively from existing ratings in accordance with Moody's rating practices. For ratings issued on a support provider, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the rating action on the support provider and in relation to each particular rating action for securities that derive their credit ratings from the support provider's credit rating. For provisional ratings, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the provisional rating assigned, and in relation to a definitive rating that may be assigned subsequent to the final issuance of the debt, in each case where the transaction structure and terms have not changed prior to the assignment of the definitive rating in a manner that would have affected the rating. For further information please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page for the respective issuer on www.moodys.com.

The following information supplements Disclosure 10 ("Information Relating to Conflicts of Interest as required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(J) of SEC Rule 17g-7") in the regulatory disclosures made at the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on www.moodys.com for each credit rating:

Moody's was not paid for services other than determining a credit rating in the most recently ended fiscal year by the person that paid Moody's to determine this credit rating.

Regulatory disclosures contained in this press release apply to the credit rating and, if applicable, the related rating outlook or rating review.

Please see www.moodys.com for any updates on changes to the lead rating analyst and to the Moody's legal entity that has issued the rating.

Please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on www.moodys.com for additional regulatory disclosures for each credit rating.

Analysts

Anne Cosgrove
Lead Analyst
Public Finance Group
Moody's Investors Service

Marcia Van Wagner
Additional Contact
Public Finance Group
Moody's Investors Service

Emily Raimes
Additional Contact
Public Finance Group
Moody's Investors Service

Contacts

Journalists: (212) 553-0376
Research Clients: (212) 553-1653

Moody's Investors Service, Inc.

250 Greenwich Street
New York, NY 10007
USA



© 2015 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. AND ITS RATINGS AFFILIATES ("MIS") ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ("MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS") MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO CONSIDER MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS IN MAKING ANY INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody's Publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moody.com under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

For Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail clients. It would be dangerous for "retail clients" to make any investment decision based on MOODY'S credit rating. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.

For Japan only: MOODY'S Japan K.K. ("MJKK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MOODY'S Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJKK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJKK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY200,000 to approximately JPY350,000,000. MJKK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.