

Public Finance

Water & Sewer / U.S.A.

State of Connecticut

State Revolving Fund General Revenue Bonds **New Issue Report**

Ratings

New Issue

State Revolving Fund General Revenue Bonds, Series 2015A

AAA

Outstanding

State Revolving Fund General Revenue Bonds

AAA

Rating Outlook

Stable

New Issue Details

Sale Information: Approximately \$250,000,000 State Revolving Fund General Revenue Bonds, Series 2015A, expected to sell via negotiation during the week of April 20.

Purpose: Bond proceeds will be used to provide loans to governmental entities in the state for state revolving fund (SRF) wastewater and drinking water projects.

Security: Bonds are secured by program loan repayments, the debt service fund and the support funds. In addition, under the 2002 general bonds resolution (GBR), the state is required to make sufficient transfers to pay bond debt service from all available moneys in the revolving fund, which includes program equity, if necessary.

Final Maturity: March 1, 2035.

Key Rating Drivers

Sound Financial Structure: Cash flow modeling demonstrates that the program can continue to pay bond debt service even with loan defaults in excess of Fitch Ratings' 'AAA' liability rating stress hurdle, as produced using Fitch's portfolio stress calculator (PSC).

Highly Rated Borrower Pool: Approximately 97% of the state's combined SRF loan pool consists of entities carrying investment-grade ratings. Overall, pool credit quality is higher than average in comparison to other SRFs rated by Fitch.

Pledged GBR Equity: Under the GBR, the state is obligated to transfer to the debt service fund any available moneys in the SRF (including equity moneys) in an amount sufficient to make debt service payments. Connecticut's incorporation of this obligation in the GBR provides additional bondholder protection and is unique among traditional SRF programs, which typically exclude such a covenant.

Above-Average Borrower Concentration: The state's loan pool is somewhat small, with only 97 borrowers. The top 10 borrowers constitute approximately 58% of the pool total, and the largest borrower represents 22% of the total pool. These metrics are slightly weaker than Fitch's comparable 'AAA' rating category medians. Concentrated pools are assessed at higher stresses in Fitch's modeling.

Solid Program Management: Connecticut maintains sound formal program underwriting and loan monitoring guidelines exhibited by the lack of pledged borrower defaults to date.

Rating Sensitivities

Reduction in Modeled Stress Cushion: Significant deterioration in aggregate borrower credit quality, increased pool concentration, or increased leveraging resulting in the program's inability to pass Fitch's 'AAA' liability rating stress hurdle would put downward pressure on the rating. The Stable Rating Outlook reflects Fitch's view that these events are unlikely to occur.

Related Research

State Revolving Fund and Leveraged Municipal Loan Pool Criteria (October 2014) Fitch Rates Connecticut's SRF General Revenue Bonds, Series 2015A 'AAA'; Outlook Stable (April 2015)

Analysts

Major Parkhurst +1 512 215-3724 major.parkhurst@fitchratings.com

Eva Rippeteau +1 212 908-9105 eva.rippeteau@fitchratings.com

www.fitchratings.com April 13, 2015



Rating History

te
15
13
12
11
8(
)6
)3

Credit Profile

Connecticut's state revolving funds provide subsidized loans to local entities throughout the state for eligible clean water and drinking water projects. Fitch evaluates the separate clean water and drinking water SRFs as a single program given the cross-collateralization feature, whereby debt service deficiencies in one fund may be cured by surpluses in the other.

Sound Financial Structure, Default Tolerance

Fitch measures financial strength of the combined SRFs by calculating the program asset strength ratio (PASR). The PASR includes total scheduled pledged loan repayments, support fund balance, and account earnings divided by total scheduled bond debt service. The state's PASR is 1.3x, which is below Fitch's 2014 'AAA' rating category median level of 1.8x but is considered sound by Fitch.

Excluding amounts in the equity fund, cash flow modeling demonstrates that the program can continue to pay bond debt service even with hypothetical loan defaults of 89% in the first four year and 100% in the middle and last four-year period over the outstanding bonds' expected life (per Fitch criteria, a 90% recovery is applied in its cash flow model when determining default tolerance). These results are in excess of Fitch's 'AAA' liability rating stress hurdle of 14%, as produced by the PSC. The liability rating stress hurdle is calculated based on overall pool credit quality as measured by the rating of underlying borrowers, loan size and term, and concentration.

The program's low PSC stress hurdle is reflective of the higher than average borrower credit quality. Although Fitch's calculation of the first four-year default rate has slightly decreased since last review (from 94%), inclusion of available and pledged equity funds in its model analysis would have resulted in a default tolerance of 100% in each stress period.

Enhancement Provided Primarily By Support and Equity Funds

The state's SRF loan pools are primarily protected from losses by surplus pledged loan amounts and investment earnings in excess of debt service, and amounts in the support and equity funds. The support fund was initially funded with the issuance of certain previous series of bonds in amounts required under such bonds' supplemental resolutions and is not expected to be funded as part of the 2015 issue. As these bonds amortize, amounts are released in accordance with a set schedule and are then available to pay for bond debt service. As of March 2015, the combined clean water and drinking water support fund balance was \$72 million, or 8% of outstanding principal (including the series 2015A bonds). Inclusive of the support fund releases, minimum annual debt service coverage (DSC) is low at approximately 1.0x versus a 'AAA' rating category median level of 1.4x but is also considered sufficient given the amounts available in the equity fund as described herein.

As mentioned previously, the program's equity fund is also available and pledged to bondholders in the event of a debt service shortfall. However, equity moneys were not included in Fitch's analysis primarily because equity balances could diminish over time if they are recycled into new loans. As of March 2015, the equity balance totaled \$273.5 million.

Related Criteria

Revenue-Supported Rating Criteria (June 2014)

State Revolving Fund and Leveraged Municipal Loan Pool Criteria (October 2014)



High-Quality Loan Pool with Some Concentration

At 97 borrowers, the current loan pool is somewhat small and concentrated. However, the large majority of borrowers are highly rated. The Metropolitan District Commission (unrated by Fitch but assessed to be of high credit quality) is the pool's largest borrower, accounting for 22% of the total. The remaining top 10 borrowers account for a slightly elevated 58% of the pool, though all are also assessed to be investment grade. The program's loan security is favorable, with each borrower backed by general obligation pledges (68%), net utility system revenues (25%), or a combination of the two (7%). Overall pool attributes have remained similar over the past several years.

Solid Program Management and Underwriting

The state treasurer's office and Connecticut's department of energy and environmental protection (DEEP) jointly manage the clean water SRF program, while the drinking water program is jointly managed by the state treasurer's office and the department of public health (DPH). Among other duties, DEEP and DPH are responsible for the preparation of the state's annual SRF priority lists, approval of construction disbursement requests, and the inspection of projects to ensure compliance.

Connecticut requires that program borrowers evidence their ability to repay loans and provide relevant documentation such as financial statements, capital budgets and economic data. Management monitors monthly loan repayments and works with its loan servicing contractor, the program's trustee bank. In the event of a late payment, a policy is in place to implement immediate collection procedures.

Largest Borrowers		
Borrower — Pledge Type	% of Portfolio	
Metropolitan District Commission	21.5	
Mattabassett District	7.0	
Greater New Haven Water Pollution Control Authority	6.4	
Meriden	4.1	
Bridgeport	3.6	
Stamford	3.5	
Manchester	3.3	
Stratford	3.0	
Milford	2.8	
Waterbury	2.7	
Total	57.8	

Liberal Additional Bonds Requirement

Fitch views negatively the absence of a minimum coverage provision in the GBR, as it could result in less than sum-sufficient coverage of debt service from pledged loan repayments and account earnings alone. However, the program's ample available funds sufficiently mitigate the risk of loss at the current rating level, as demonstrated in Fitch's cash flow modeling.



The ratings above were solicited by, or on behalf of, the issuer, and therefore, Fitch has been compensated for the provision of the ratings.

ALL FITCH CREDIT RATINGS ARE SUBJECT TO CERTAIN LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS. PLEASE **READ THESE** LIMITATIONS AND **DISCLAIMERS** BY **FOLLOWING** THIS HTTPS://FITCHRATINGS.COM/UNDERSTANDINGCREDITRATINGS IN ADDITION, RATING DEFINITIONS AND THE TERMS OF USE OF SUCH RATINGS ARE AVAILABLE ON THE AGENCY'S PUBLIC WEB SITE AT WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM. PUBLISHED RATINGS, CRITERIA, AND METHODOLOGIES ARE AVAILABLE FROM THIS SITE AT ALL TIMES. FITCH'S CODE OF CONDUCT, CONFIDENTIALITY, CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, AFFILIATE FIREWALL, COMPLIANCE, AND OTHER RELEVANT POLICIES AND PROCEDURES ARE ALSO AVAILABLE FROM THE CODE OF CONDUCT SECTION OF THIS SITE. FITCH MAY HAVE PROVIDED ANOTHER PERMISSIBLE SERVICE TO THE RATED ENTITY OR ITS RELATED THIRD PARTIES DETAILS OF THIS SERVICE FOR RATINGS FOR WHICH THE LEAD ANALYST IS BASED IN AN EU-REGISTERED ENTITY CAN BE FOUND ON THE ENTITY SUMMARY PAGE FOR THIS ISSUER ON THE FITCH WEBSITE.

Copyright © 2015 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved. In issuing and maintaining its ratings, Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating was issued or affirmed.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion is based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at anytime for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not co